

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**FILED**

2008 AUG -4 PM 12: 13

Moskowitz, US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TO:  U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE /  U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
 FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/28/2008

CASE NO.: 08cv1177 BTM (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner RM DEPUTY

CASE TITLE: Stewart v. Yates

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for IFP

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<b>X</b>	<b><u>OTHER: Motion already granted.</u></b>

Date forwarded: 7/29/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF:

BTM

By:

Dated: 8/1/08  
 cc: All Parties

Willie Stewart Jr.

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER/MOVANT'S NAME

P14596

PRISON NUMBER

Pleasant Valley State Prison

PLACE OF CONFINEMENT

P.O. Box 8501COALINGA, California 93210

ADDRESS

**REJECTED**

**United States District Court**  
**Southern District Of California**

Willie Stewart Jr.

Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant

v.

James Yates, Warden

Defendant/Respondent

Civil No. 08cv1177-BTM(NLS)

(TO BE FILLED IN BY U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK)

**MOTION AND DECLARATION UNDER  
 PENALTY OF PERJURY IN SUPPORT  
 OF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA  
 PAUPERIS**

I, Willie Stewart Jr.

declare that I am the Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant in this case. In support of my request to proceed without prepayment of fees or security under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, I further declare I am unable to pay the fees of this proceeding or give security because of my poverty, and that I believe I am entitled to redress.

**In further support of this application, I answer the following question under penalty of perjury:**

1. Are you currently incarcerated?  Yes  No (If "No" go to question 2)

If "Yes," state the place of your incarceration Pleasant Valley State Prison

Are you employed at the institution?  Yes  No

Do you receive any payment from the institution?  Yes  No

[Have the institution fill out the Certificate portion of this affidavit and attach a certified copy of the trust account statement from the institution of your incarceration showing at least the last six months transactions.]